



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,493	02/22/2002	Stephane Kasriel	FC 020222	3724
54092	7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH OAKS PATENT AGENCY			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
45 ISLAND ROAD NORTH OAKS, MN 55127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2157	
		DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,493	KASRIEL, STEPHANE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Salad E. Abdullahi	2157	
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Notest</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liden or b) objected to by the liden of controls. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object or by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
Rotice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (F10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/082,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

Response to Amendment

The response filed on 11/7/2005 has been received and made of record.
 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been fully considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fascenda U.S. Patent No. 6,466,937[hereinafter Fascenda] in view of Psounis U.S Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0138511[hereinafter Psounis].

As per claim 1, Fascenda discloses a method for responding to a request from a client for a web page including steps of:

forwarding from a request server a request to template server (see fig. 3, col. 7, line 58 to col. 8, line 9 and col. 15, line 65 to col. 16, line 20);

building, at the template server that is logically separate from the request server a template information for the web page(see fig. 3, and col. 10, line 62 to col. 11, line 4); computing delta information(i.e., changes to client templates) for a web page based on the template based on the template information (see fig. 3, col. 7, line 58 to col. 8, line 9 and col. 15, line 65 to col. 16, line 20); and

Art Unit: 2157

sending to the said client the delta information (i.e., changes to client templates) for the web page and reference a to the said template information (see col. 11, lines 7-21 and col. 13, lines 56-65).

Fascenda is silent regarding: delta encoder separate from the server.

Nonetheless utilizing delta encoder separate from the server would have been an obvious modification Fascenda's system as evidenced by Psounis. Psounis discloses a utilizing delta encoder separate from the server (see fig. 1 and paragraph 0026). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Psounis into the system of Fascenda such that delta encoders can located closer to end users and therefore are able to deliver content to those end users much faster than the content servers.

As per claim 2-3, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, including steps of compressing said delta information (see col. 18, lines 44-49).

As per claim 4, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, including steps of:

Sending statistical information to the template server relating to the benefits of delta caching service of the delta encoder (see col. 11, lines 7-21 and col. 13, lines 56-65 and col. 11, lines 7-21 and col. 13, lines 56-65)

As per claim 5, Fascenda discloses a as claimed in claim 1, including the steps of distributing said request from said client to a selected one of a set of delta encoders(i.e.,

Application/Control Number: 10/082,493

Art Unit: 2157

server template 330 includes template generators based on client specifications received) (see col. 11, lines 7-21);

providing a web object at said server in response to said request; and computing said delta information at said selected one delta encoder in response to said template information, wherein said template information is substantially independent of an identity of said selected one delta encoder (see fig. 3 and col. 11, lines 7-21).

As per claim 6, Fascenda discloses providing a web object at said selected one device in response to said request information in response to said web object and in response computing said delta to said template information, wherein said template information is substantially independent of an identity of said selected one device (col. 11, lines 7-21). As per claim 7-8, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, including steps of receiving, from said client, a request for said template information (col. 11, lines 7-21); and

sending, to said client, said template information(col. 11, lines 7-21).

As per claim 9-11, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein said delta information includes one program fragment. wherein said delta information includes at least one program fragment directing said client to retrieve template information(see fig. 6b and col. 13, lines 56-65).

As per claim 12, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein said object is accessible to more than one said server (inherent)(see also fig. 3); and said template information is accessible to said more than one said server (inherent) (see fig. 3 and col. 10, lines 34-61).

As per claim 13, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein said steps of building are responsive to at least one change in said object (see col. 11, lines 11-21).

As per claims 14-40, the claims include limitations analogous to claims 1-13, thus claims 14-40 are rejected same rational as claims 1-13.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/082,493 Page 6

Art Unit: 2157

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-

4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can

be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad 1/23/2005